Simulation of Magneto-Hydrodynamics with Least-Squares Finite Element Method

Brian Dennis Graduate Research Assistant Aerospace Engineering Dept. Penn State University

George Dulikravich Professor Mechanical and Aerospace Dept. University of Texas at Arlington



Introduction

- EMHD is study of incompressible flow under the influence of electric and magnetic fields
- Various simplified analytical models exist and have been used for numerical simulation(EHD,MHD)

Introduction cont.

- A fully consistent non-linear model for general EMHD has been recently developed
- No numerical simulations of full EMHD has been report in open literature to date
- A computer code for numerical simulation for 2-D planar MHD/EMHD flows has been developed using LSFEM

Introduction cont.

• Numerical simulation is necessary for performing optimization involving EMHD flows

Applications of EMHD

- Manufacturing(solidification,crystal growth)
- Flow control
- Drag reduction/propulsion
- Pumps with no moving parts(artificial heart, liquid metal pumps)
- Compact heat exchangers
- Shock absorbers, active damping

Fully Consistent Model of EMHD

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{n} \frac{D\underline{v}}{Dt} = -\tilde{n}g[1 - \hat{a}\left(\hat{O} - \hat{O}_{0}\right)]\underline{i}_{\underline{3}} - \nabla\left(p + p_{e} + p_{m}\right) \\ & + \nabla \cdot \left(\hat{1}_{v}\left(\nabla\underline{v} + \nabla\underline{v}^{t}\right)\right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\hat{o}_{2}\left(\underline{\hat{E}} \otimes \underline{\hat{E}}\right)\right) \\ & - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{e}_{2}}{T}\left(\nabla T \otimes \nabla T\right)\right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\int_{T} \left(\frac{\hat{e}_{5}}{T} + \hat{o}_{5}\right)\underline{\hat{E}} \otimes \nabla T\right)_{S}\right) \\ & + q_{e}\underline{\hat{E}} + \hat{o}_{1}\underline{\hat{E}} \times \underline{B} + \hat{o}_{2}\underline{d} \cdot \underline{\hat{E}} \times \underline{B} + \hat{o}_{4}\nabla T \times \underline{B} \\ & + \hat{o}_{5}\underline{d} \cdot \nabla T \times \underline{B} + \hat{o}_{7}\left(\underline{\hat{E}} \times \underline{B}\right) \times \underline{B} + \frac{\hat{e}_{10}}{T}\left(\nabla T \times \underline{B}\right) \times \underline{B} \\ & + \hat{a}_{p}\left(\underline{\hat{E}} \cdot \nabla\right)\underline{E} + \frac{1}{1_{m}}\left(\underline{B} \cdot \nabla\right)\underline{B} - \hat{a}_{p}\left(\left(\underline{v} \times \underline{E}\right) \cdot \nabla\right)\underline{B} \\ & + \frac{D}{Dt}\left(\hat{a}_{p}\left(\underline{\hat{E}} \times \underline{B}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

Conservation of Energy

$$ic_{p} \frac{DT}{Dt} = Q_{h} + \nabla \cdot (\hat{e}_{1} \nabla \hat{O} + \hat{e}_{2} \underline{d} \cdot \nabla \hat{O} + \hat{e}_{4} \underline{\hat{E}}) + \nabla \cdot (\hat{e}_{5} \underline{d} \cdot \underline{\hat{E}} + \hat{e}_{7} \nabla \hat{O} \times \underline{B} + \hat{e}_{10} \underline{\hat{E}} \cdot \underline{B}) + \nabla \cdot (\hat{e}_{5} \underline{d} \cdot \underline{\hat{E}} + \hat{e}_{7} \nabla \hat{O} \times \underline{B} + \hat{e}_{10} \underline{\hat{E}} \cdot \underline{B}) + \delta_{1} \underline{\hat{E}} \cdot \underline{\hat{E}} + \delta_{4} \underline{\hat{E}} \cdot \nabla \hat{O} - \frac{\hat{e}_{2}}{\hat{O}} \nabla \hat{O} \cdot \underline{d} \cdot \nabla \hat{O} - \frac{\hat{e}_{5}}{\hat{O}} \underline{\hat{C}} \cdot \nabla \hat{O} \cdot \underline{d} \cdot \nabla \hat{O} + \frac{\hat{e}_{10}}{\hat{O}} \underline{\hat{E}} \cdot (\nabla \hat{O} \times \underline{B}) + \frac{\hat{E}}{\hat{O}} \cdot \frac{D(\hat{a}_{p} \underline{\hat{E}})}{Dt} - \left(\frac{\underline{B}}{\hat{i}_{m}} - \hat{a}_{p} \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{E}\right) \cdot \frac{DB}{Dt}.$$
Conservation of Mass

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{\nabla} = 0$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Maxwell's Equations} \\ & \nabla \cdot \left(\hat{a}\underline{E} + \hat{a}_{p} \underline{v} \times \underline{B} \right) = q_{e} \,, \\ & \nabla \cdot \underline{B} = 0 \,, \\ & \nabla \times \underline{E} = -\frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} \,, \\ & \nabla \times \underline{\underline{B}} = -\frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} \,, \\ & \nabla \times \frac{\underline{B}}{i} + \nabla \times (\hat{a}_{p} \underline{v} \times \underline{E}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\hat{a}\underline{E} + \hat{a}_{p} \underline{v} \times \underline{B} \Big) + q_{e} \underline{v} \\ & \quad + \delta_{1} \hat{\underline{E}} + \delta_{2} \underline{d} \cdot \hat{\underline{E}} + \delta_{4} \nabla \hat{O} + \delta_{5} \underline{d} \cdot \nabla \hat{O} \\ & \quad + \delta_{7} \underline{\underline{E}} \times \underline{B} + T^{-1} \hat{e}_{10} \nabla \hat{O} \times \underline{B} \,. \end{split}$$

Sub-models

The LSFEM was applied to two sub-models of the fully nonlinear Electro-magneto-hydrodynamic system

•Magneto-hydrodynamics

•Electro-magneto-hydrodynamics with reduced number of source terms

Advantages of the LSFEM

- Can use equal order basis functions for pressure and velocity
- can use the first order form of PDE's
- can handle any type of equation and mixed types of equations
- Can discretize convection terms without upwinding or explicit artificial dissipation
- Stable and robust method
- Resulting system of equations is symmetric and positive definite



Least-squares finite element method (LSFEM)

The system of partial differential equations described in section 2.1 is discretized using the least squares finite element method. We first look at the LSFEM for a general linear first-order system

$$[L]\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \tag{13}$$

where

$$[L] = [A_1]\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + [A_2]\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + [A_3]$$
(14)

The residual of the system is represented by ${\bf R}.$

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f} \tag{15}$$

We now define the following least squares functional I over the domain Ω

$$I(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u})^T \cdot \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u}) \, dx \, dy \tag{16}$$

The weak statement is then obtained by taking the variation of I with respect to **u** and setting the result equal to zero.

$$\delta I(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \left([L] \delta \mathbf{u} \right) \left([L] \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f} \right) dx \, dy = 0 \tag{17}$$

Using equal order shape functions, ϕ_i , for all unknowns, the vector \mathbf{u} is written as

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i \{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_m\}_i^T$$
(18)

where $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_m\}_i$ are the nodal values at the *i*th node of the finite element. Introducing the above approximation for **u** into the weak statement leads to a linear system of algebraic equations

$$[K]\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F}$$
 (19)

where [K] is the stiffness matrix, ${\bf U}$ is the vector of unknowns, and ${\bf F}$ is the force vector.

LSFEM Code

A serial code was developed in C/C++ to solve general systems with LSFEM

•Steady state problems only

•Mixed triangular and quadrilateral meshes

•Quadratic interpolation functions for all unknowns

•Solve resulting systems with either sparse LU factorization or Jacobi PCG

•Support for multiple material domains such as in conjugate heat transfer problems

•Nonlinear equations are linearized with Newton or Picard method

LSFEM for MHD

The steady viscous incompressible MHD flow can be described by the Navier-Stokes equations combined with the Maxwell's equations.

$ abla \cdot \mathbf{V}$	= 0	(1)
$\rho \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} - \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{V} + \nabla P - \sigma \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$	= 0	(2)
$ ho C_p \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla T - \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J}$	= 0	(3)
$ abla \cdot {f B}$	= 0	(4)
$ abla imes {f B}$	$= \mu \mathbf{J}$	(5)
J	$= \sigma \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$	(6)
		(7)



$$\begin{split} & \left[A_{1}^{fluid}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_{0} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{0} & 0 & -\frac{1}{Re} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} A_{2}^{fluid} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ v_{0} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{Re} \\ 0 & v_{0} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \left[A_{3}^{fluid}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{Ht^{2}}{Re}B_{y0}^{2} + \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x} & -\frac{Ht^{2}}{Re}B_{x0}B_{y0} + \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y} & 0 \\ -\frac{Ht^{2}}{Re}B_{x0}B_{y0} + \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x} & -\frac{Ht^{2}}{Re}B_{x0}^{2} + \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \mathbf{f}^{fluid} = \begin{cases} u_{0}\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x} + v_{0}\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y} \\ u_{0}\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x} + v_{0}\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{u}^{fluid} = \begin{cases} u \\ v \\ p \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ & \left[A_{1}^{mag}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A_{2}^{mag}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A_{3}^{mag}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Rm v_{0} & -Rm u_{0} \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$



Verification of Accuracy

- No analytic solutions for EMHD exist
- Some analytic solutions for MHD exist
- NSE portion of code was validated using analytic solutions for NSE and with experiment data from driven cavity flows and backward facing step
- Heat transfer/Electric/Magnetic field portions were verified with analytic solutions

Γ

H	Iartmann F	low	
MHD LSFEM code	e was compared with	n the analyti	ic solution to
Poisuille-Hartmann	flow		
Para	meters for Poisuille-Hartman	n flow test proble	ana -
	Ht	10	
	Rm	$6 imes 10^{-7}$	
	$L_{0}\left(m ight)$	1	
	$U_0(ms^{-1})$	0.6	
	$\eta(kgm^{-1}s^{-1})$	0.01	
	$B_{0}\left(T ight)$	1	
	$\mu \left(Hm^{-1} ight)$	1×10^{-6}	
	$\partial P/\partial x(Pam^{-1})$	0.6	
	$\sigma\left(\Omega^{-1}m^{-1} ight)$	1	
			-





Simulation and Optimization of Magneto-hydrodynamic Flows with LSFEM

Optimization of Magneto-Hydrodynamic Control of Diffuser Flows Using Micro-Genetic Algorithms and Least-Squares Finite Elements

Goal

• Given a fixed diffuser shape, use micro-GA and LSFEM MHD analysis to design a magnetic field distribution on the diffuser wall that will increase static pressure rise

Flow Solver

- LSFEM solver for 2-D steady incompressible Navier-Stokes together with Maxwell's equations for steady magnetic field
- Uses hybrid quadrilateral/triangular grid
- One analysis takes around 22 min. on a single Pentium II CPU

BC's and Parameterization

- Parabolic velocity specified at inlet
- Static pressure specified at outlet
- no-slip conditions on wall
- magnetic field component along wall are specified. They were parameterized with b-spline
- perfectly conducting wall be used on all other solid surfaces





sical parameters for diffuser optimization problem		
	$ ho (kg m^{-2})$	1025
	$L_{0}\left(m ight)$	3
	$U_0(ms^{-1})$	$1.58 imes 10^{-4}$
	$\eta(kgm^{-1}s^{-1})$	0.001
	$\mu (H m^{-1})$	1×10^{-6}
	$\sigma\left(\Omega^{-1}m^{-1} ight)$	4.5

Parallel Genetic Algorithm

- GA is a naturally coarse grained parallel algorithm
- One node maintains the population(master) and distributes jobs to the slave nodes
- Only simple synchronous message passing is needed to implement on distibuted memory
- Population size need not match the number of slave nodes
- Asynchronous models are also being developed for use when function analysis computation times vary dramatically.



Genetic Algorithm

- Population size of 15
- 100 generations
- 9-bit strings for each design variable
- elitism
- tournament selection
- uniform crossover
- parallel micro-GA















Boundary Conditions

- Inlet temperature of 2000 K
- Specified outlet pressure
- Specified parabolic velocity profile at inlet
- No-slip on walls
- Symmetry boundary condition on top
- Temperature of 300 K on bottom wall
- Perfectly conducting walls except in the region 7 < x < 8 where sinusoidal magnetic field components were specified. Magnitude was varied from 0 to 5 Tesla.

$a(ka m^{-2})$	1024.0
$L_0(m)$	1.0
$U_0 (m s^{-1})$	6.0×10^{-1}
$\eta(kgm^{-1}s^{-1})$	0.001
$\mu(Hm^{-1})$	1×10^{-6}
$\sigma(\Omega^{-1}m^{-1})$	4.5
$Cp(JKg^{-1}K^{-1})$	4184.0
$k_{fhuid} (Wm^{-1}K^{-1})$	0.5
$k_{uolid} (Wm^{-1}K^{-1})$	10.0
$B_{q}(T)$	0.0-5.0

Results

- Presence of magnetic field induces a large separation in the flow field close to the wall
- Size and complexity are proportional to the strength of the magnetic field
- A drop in fluid/solid interface temperature was observed in the region where the magnetic field was applied

















Governing Equations				
$ abla \cdot \mathbf{V}$	= 0	(20)		
$\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} + rac{1}{Re} \nabla imes \omega + \nabla P - rac{Ht^2}{Re} \mathbf{V} imes \mathbf{B} imes \mathbf{B}$		(21)		
$-Se\hat{q}\mathbf{E}-M_{1}\mathbf{V} imes\mathbf{B}\hat{q}-M_{2}\mathbf{E} imes\mathbf{B}$				
$+M_3 abla \hat{q} imes {f B}-M_4 \hat{q}{f E} imes {f B}$	= 0			
$\omega - abla imes {f V} = 0$		(22)		
$\mathbf{V} \cdot abla T + abla \cdot \mathbf{q} - rac{Ht^2 Ec}{Re} (\mathbf{V} imes \mathbf{B})^2$	= 0	(23)		
$\mathbf{q} + rac{1}{Pe} abla T$	= 0	(24)		
$ abla imes {f q}$	= 0	(25)		
$ abla \cdot {f B}$	= 0	(26)		
$ abla imes \mathbf{B} = Rm \mathbf{V} imes \mathbf{B} + B_1 \mathbf{V} \hat{q} + B_2 \mathbf{E} - B_3 \nabla \hat{q} + B_4 \hat{q} \mathbf{E}$		(27)		
$ abla \cdot {f E}$	$= N e \hat{q}$	(28)		
$ abla imes {f E}$	= 0	(29)		
$ abla \Phi$	$= \mathbf{E}$	(30)		
$Q_1 \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \hat{q} + Q_2 \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \hat{q} + Q_3 \hat{q} + Q_4 \hat{q}^2 + Q_5 \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})$	= 0	(31)		

Boundary conditions and geometry

•Rectangular domain with height of 4 cm and length of 40 cm

•Triangular mesh: 7021 nodes

3422 elements parabolic triangles

•Specified parabolic inlet velocity profile and temperature of 310.15 K

•No slip on walls

•Wall temperature was 298.15 K

•Specified exit pressure of 1 Pa

•Positive electrode on bottom wall, negative electrode on top with 50 volts applied across them

•Uniform magnetic field of .05 Tesla specified in Z direction

Physical parameters for EMHD blood pump

Density(kg m⁻³) = 1055.0 Inlet height(cm) = 4 Length(cm) = 40 Inlet temp.(K) = 310 Wall temp(K) = 298 heat conductivity(W kg⁻¹ K⁻¹) = .51 specific heat(J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹) = 4178 inlet velocity(m s⁻¹) = .05 dynamic viscosity(kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹) = .004 electric conductivity (S m⁻¹) = 1.4 outlet pressure (Pa) = 1









<section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item>



Conclusions

- A code for the simulation of MHD/EMHD was developed based on the LSFEM
- Code was tested against analytic solutions and experimental data for separate disciplines
- Code was applied to several MHD problems including a MHD diffuser optimization problem
- Code was used to simulation an EMHD pump

